IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Odell Cobbs,	
) Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-01972-JMC
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) ORDER
)
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting)
Commissioner of Social Security)
Administration,)
)
Defendant.)
)

This matter is before the court for a review of United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges' Report and Recommendation ("Report"), filed on April 1, 2016 (ECF No. 19), recommending that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (the "Commissioner") denying Plaintiff's claims for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") be reversed and remanded for further proceedings. The Report sets forth the relevant facts and legal standards which this court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court, which has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made.

The parties were advised of their right to file objections to the Report by April 1, 2016. (See ECF No. 19.) Plaintiff did not file any objections. The Commissioner filed a Notice of Not

1:15-cv-01972-JMC Date Filed 05/31/16 Entry Number 25 Page 2 of 2

Filing Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge on April 15, 2016.

(ECF No. 21.)

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198,

199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the

face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident

Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's

note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's

waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such

recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court finds the

Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law. The court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 19) and **REVERSES** the final decision of the

Commissioner denying Plaintiff's claims for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") and

REMANDS the case to the Commissioner for further proceedings consistent with this decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

J. Michelle Childs

May 31, 2016

Columbia, South Carolina

2